

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Mark R. Williams 682 S. 7th St. **OCT** San Jose CA 95112 re Application No. 09/652,387 Att. Dkt. No. 253/232

Attorney Alesia M. Brown Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

OCT 2 6 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Dear Attorney Brown:

I am writing you to request reconsideration of my petition to revive my application, and ask you to please help me understand what is lacking in my resubmission.

Along with my patent attorney, I made efforts in 2003 to 2004 trying to clarify objections by the examiner to my application. After my attorney's firm went out of business, I took on the efforts by myself, and in June of 2004 I finally realized why the examiner had misunderstood my intent.

I had used the term "commercial messages", which the examiner had interpreted as data messages related to commerce, such as in a financial institution computer system. Instead, I had intended "advertising messages" such as TV or radio ad spots.

Starting from that point:

- I submitted a Reply clarifying the usage of terminology in my original application; which was received by the Patent Office 06-21-2004. Copy is attached.
- The Office sent a reply on 07-23-2004, advising me that my amendments to " 'advertising message' have changed the scope of the claims that would require further consideration and search." Copy is attached.

Office on 09-14-2004. In it, I checked the Previously Submitted box, reterring to the amendments in Reply attachment.

I am not able to understand why the Reply referenced in the RCE did not count as a submission. Nonetheless, I am re-submitting the RCE form, and referencing the enclosed Reply as the submission.

I would appreciate it if you could call me once you have received and read this submission. My cell phone number is (408) 206-6910. If for some reason I do not answer, please leave me a message with a time when you will try again, and I assure you I will be waiting for your call.

Sincerely,

Mark R. Williams



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Mark R. Williams

Art Unit : 2177

Serial No.: 09/652,387

Examiner: Debbie M. Le

Filed

: August 31, 2000

Title

: METHODS AND APPARATUSES FOR MEDIA FILE DELIVERY

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

OCT 26 2007

REPLY

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Dear Patent Examiner:

I am writing you as inventor and filer of the above named patent application. I filed this

application as a private individual. As I have now exhausted all my funds for patent attorneys to PAGE 1/11* RCVD AT 10/24/2007 12:21:20 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/19* DNIS:2738300 * CSID:Public Fax * DURATION (mm-ss):05-38

Page : 2 of 10

- The invention does nothing to prevent the music download, or to assure a "secure" transfer. However, during the music file transfer it additionally downloads to the player unit a file containing advertising messages, and uploads from the player unit a record of previous listening activity.

- After this download/upload activity, the player unit is disconnected from the network and has no further requirement for connection until the user wishes to download new audio files.
- When the user plays the music from the player unit, the invention does three things:
 - It checks the identifier that was appended to the music file to determine whether it
 matches that of the player unit. If not, the music file may have come from another
 source. For example, the music file could have been downloaded from someone
 else's PC.
 - 2. If the music file didn't come from the player unit owner's PC, the player unit plays one of the brief (~3 sec long) advertising messages from the previously downloaded file just prior to playing the song.
 - 3. If the commercial message is played, the player unit takes note of the advertising message number, associates this with the industry-standard identifier that came with the downloaded music selection itself, and stores both in memory as a record of listening activity.
- Note that the player unit cannot prevent the audio file from playing back, regardless of whether an advertising message was played along with it.
- The next time the player unit is attached to the PC for music downloads, the abovementioned record of previous listening activity is uploaded.
- Once uploaded to the PC, the listening activity information is sooner or later transferred back via network connection to a service that charges the advertiser for the commercial

Page : 3 of 10

played, and pays the recording artist or company for the music heard. The user is unaware of and uninvolved in this activity. Moreover, the transfer of this information back to the service happens independently of the music download (probably in the background at a later time).

Unlike what is claimed in other patents, the user is **never** prevented from listening to music (even a pirated copy) and never signs up for any type of billing service or is in any way responsible for paying money for listening to the music.

II. Regarding the Berry patent as a basis for rejecting my claims:

One of the points of misunderstandings I mentioned earlier has to do with "commercial messages." I am not talking about "commercial" as in "related to commerce" – I'm talking about advertisements – like ads on the radio. I believe that when re-read in this context, my claims are quite dissimilar to those of Berry.

In addition, Berry teaches about manipulating "identifiers". But as made quite clear even in the Berry abstract, the patent offers:

"A method and system in a multimedia computer system for automatically retrieving and presenting data <u>associated</u> with an audio recording..."

The "identifiers" of my invention are **not** associated with the audio recording content. They serve only to indicate the ownership of the file, and have nothing to do with the file contents.

III. Regarding the Simmons patent as a basis for rejecting my claims:

This is the other point of misunderstanding. My invention never attempts to prevent audio playback, nor does it provide a means of charging the user a fee for the content.

- Simmons sections [0022] and [0040] talk about using an identifier for the purposes of "the requested file being uniquely dynamically encrypted such that it can only be played back on the requesting player/receiver...". The purpose of the identifier on my invention Senal No.

09/652,387

Filed

: August 31, 2000

Page

4 of 10

is to determine whether an advertising message should be played, **not** to prevent playback of the content. This was not foreseen by Simmons.

- Simmons sections [0045] and [0049] focus on "encryption" of the media file to prevent the content from being played unless all conditions are met. My invention does **not** encrypt content files, since it does not want to prevent them from being played. The word "encryption" does not appear anywhere in my claims, because there is never any attempt made to secure the music.
- Simmons section [0050] focuses on the electronic transaction control mechanism designed to ensure that users pay for content. It uses an electronic serial number as part of this process. My invention does **not** require the user to pay for content. It uses the electronic serial number of the player unit for an entirely different purpose to determine whether both the audio file and the player unit belong to the same user (the advertising messages are played if the numbers don't match).

For Simmons to have foreseen my invention, it would have to allow download <u>and</u> unrestricted playback, of <u>any</u> content from <u>any</u> source, at <u>no cost</u> to the user. This is exactly the opposite of what Simmons intends.

In summary:

- Berry teaches how to retrieve additional information related to the audio file content.
- Simmons teaches how to determine whether the file content is allowed to be played back so as to ensure that the consumer pays for content.
- My patent teaches a way to let a content producer and an advertiser know that that advertiser's message has been played in conjunction with that producer's content, allowing the advertiser to compensate the content producer as appropriate.

My invention is using basic computer and networking concepts for an entirely different purpose than either Simmons or Berry. PAGE 5/11 * RCVD AT 10/24/2007 12:21:20 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/19 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: Public Fax * DURATION (mm-ss):05-38

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED

OCT **2 6** 2007

Applicant: Mark R. Williams Serial No.: 09/652,387 Filed : August 31, 2000

Page

: 5 of 10

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Summary of Changes to Claims

- Claim 1: Since the word "identifier" alone is getting confused with the definition used in the Berry patent, I have clearly defined this identifier as NOT the one Berry refers to (the industry-standard Redbook audio CD identifier).
- Claims 2 and 4: The changes to claim 1 should make claims 2 and 4 clear without additional changes.
- Claims 5, 6, and 7: The only Berry references to "messages" are to network messaging packets. Moreover, the Berry patent doesn't teach anything about commercial advertisements. I have added the word "advertising" to claims 5 and 6 to make it clear that all "messages" referenced are advertising messages. I've canceled claim 7 because it is now redundant.
- Claims 9 and 10: The real purpose of the invention is to handle audio files. For simplicity I'm canceling the claims for video and text files.
- Claims 11, 13, 14, 15: I've amended or canceled these for the reasons given above for claims 5, 6, and 7.
- Claims 17 and 18: Canceled for the same reasons as given above for claims 9 and 10.

I ask that all claims be allowed in view of the amendments to the claims contained on the following sheets, a total of 5 pages.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:		
	Mark R. Williams	
	Applicant	

682 S. 7th Street San Jose, CA 95112 (408) 971-0958



Page

: 6 of 10

In the claims:

Please amend the claims as follows:

- (currently amended) A method for uniquely marking a media file, comprising:
 receiving a media file; and
 appending an a player unit identifier onto the media file that is unrelated to the
 media file content.
- 2. (unchanged)
- 3. (previously canceled)
- 4. (unchanged)
- 5. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising receiving an advertising message file.
- 6. (currently amended) The method of claim 5, wherein the media file and the <u>advertising</u> message file arrive in a concatenated state.
- 7. (canceled)
- 8. (unchanged)
- 9. (canceled)
- 10. (canceled)

Page : 7 of 10

11. (currently amended) A method for delivering an advertising message file, comprising:

receiving a media file with a first identifier, wherein the first identifier uniquely identifies a player unit;

retrieving a second identifier, wherein the second identifier also uniquely identifies a player unit;

comparing the first identifier with the second identifier to determine whether the player unit identified by the first identifier is the same as the player unit identified by the second identifier;

retrieving an advertising message file and producing an advertising message output from the advertising message file if the first identifier does not correspond to the second identifier; and

producing a media output from the media file.

- 12. (unchanged)
- 13. (currently amended) The method of claim 11, wherein the step of retrieving an advertising message file comprises retrieving an advertising message file from a storage device.
- 14. (currently amended) The method of claim 11, wherein the step of retrieving an advertising message file comprises retrieving an advertising message file from a non-volatile memory.
- 15. (canceled)
- 16. (unchanged)
- 17. (canceled)
- 18. (canceled)
- 19. (unchanged)

Page

: 8 of 10

- 20. (unchanged)
- 21. (currently amended) The method of claim 11, wherein the media file and the <u>advertising</u> message file are in a concatenated state.
- 22. (currently amended) The method of claim 11, wherein if the <u>advertising message</u> file cannot be retrieved, then the step of producing a media output is not carried out.
- 23. (currently amended) A player unit for delivering media files, comprising: a processor;
 - a non-volatile memory communicatively coupled to the processor;
- a first identifier stored in the non-volatile memory, wherein the first identifier uniquely identifies the player unit;
- a communications port communicatively coupled to the processor and capable of communicatively coupling the player unit to a computer system;
- a data storage drive communicatively coupled to the processor and capable of transferring data between the player unit and a removable data storage medium;
- a first application program residing in the player unit and accessible by the processor, the application program comprising one or more sequences of instructions for uniquely marking a media file, the one or more sequences of instructions causing the processor to perform a number of acts, said acts comprising:

receiving a media file,
retrieving the first identifier from the non-volatile memory,
appending the first identifier onto the media file, and
storing the appended media file in the removable data storage medium;

and

a second application program residing in the player unit and accessible by the processor, the application program comprising one or more sequences of instructions for delivering an advertising message file, the one or more sequences of instructions causing the processor to perform a number of acts, said acts comprising:

receiving a media file with a second identifier, wherein the second

Page : 9 of 10

identifier uniquely identifies a player unit,

comparing the second identifier to the first identifier to determine whether the player unit identified by the second identifier is the same as the player unit identified by the first identifier,

retrieving an <u>advertising</u> message file from the non-volatile memory and producing an <u>advertising</u> message output from the <u>advertising</u> message file if the second identifier does not correspond to the first identifier, and producing a media output from the media file.

24. (currently amended) A player unit for delivering files, comprising:

a first logic circuit configured to perform a number of acts, said acts comprising: receiving a media file,

retrieving a first identifier that uniquely identifies the player unit, appending a representation of the first identifier onto the media file, and storing the appended media file in a removable data storage medium;

a second logic circuit configured to perform a number of acts, said acts comprising:

receiving a media file with a second identifier, wherein the second identifier uniquely identifies a player unit

comparing the second identifier to the first identifier to determine whether the player unit identified by the second identifier is the same as the player unit identified by the first identifier,

retrieving an advertising message file from the non-volatile memory and producing an advertising message output from the advertising message file if the second identifier does not correspond to the first identifier, and

producing a media output from the media file;

a non-volatile memory communicatively coupled to the logic circuits for storing the first identifier;

a communications port communicatively coupled to the logic circuits and capable of communicatively coupling the player unit to a computer system; and

Page : 10 of 10

a data storage drive communicatively coupled to the logic circuits and capable of transferring data between the player unit and a removable data storage medium.

25. (unchanged)

26. (unchanged)

27. (unchanged)



	Application No.	Applicant(a)	. 1	
Advisory Action	09/652,387	WILLIAMS, MARK	R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DEBBIE M LE	2177		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress	•
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appl 1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper naich places the app	eply to a lication in	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the salculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may be amed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Asory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The conversion to the petition under 37 CFR 1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. .136(a) and the appropriate to the final Office action; on the final Office action; or the final Office action of the final Office action; or the final Office action of the final Office action	See MPEP atte extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>2/9/04</u> . Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by				
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below)):	٠.
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			1	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application	•	aterially reducing o	r simplifying: the	
issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected cla	aims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s)			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	. · · · · ·	separate, timely fil	led amendment	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: ⊴		nsidered but does l	NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which v	vere newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or rould be rejected is provided be	b) will be entere slow or appended.	d and an	•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	: ,	÷	.	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 4-18, 21-2.7</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			RECEIVE	ED
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		-	OCT. 2.6	007
10. Other:	^ ~	·		
1/20/04	GPETA ROE PRIMARY EX	BINSON	OFFICE OF PET	ITION:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 13